

## DOCUMENTS

November 14, 1968

The Secretary of Foreign Affairs  
M a n i l a

S i r :

In my study of the Military Bases Agreement *as revised*, in connection with the fatal shooting of Rogelio Gonzales by US Marine Cpl. Kenneth Smith last July, I noted *inter alia* that the amendments to the original Agreement of 1947 are embodied in a mere exchange of notes on August 10, 1965, between the Secretary of Foreign Affairs and the US Ambassador to the Philippines and that these amendments have not been submitted, up to the present time, to the Senate for ratification.

I understand that your predecessor in office had entertained the view that ratification of the said amendments was *not* necessary as the MBA is a mere executive agreement. Evidently, he was persuaded to take this position in view of information that the US President did not submit the original MBA to the US Senate for ratification.

I find myself unable to share this view. If we are to be guided, as we must, by precedents we ought to follow the procedure observed by our own Government in entering into and ratifying the original agreement, which was "*concurred in by the Senate on March 26, 1947, accepted and ratified as of March 26, 1947, on January 21, 1948.*" The information relied upon by your predecessor in office that the same agreement was *not* submitted to the US Senate for ratification, even if true, is not binding upon the Republic of the Philippines. As far as the United States Government was concerned, it could be said that the agreement involved purely military matters falling within the exclusive domain and competence of the President of the United States as Commander-in-Chief of the US Armed Forces. This, however, is not the case as regards the Republic of the Philippines since, as territorial sovereign, it agreed to lease sizeable portions of its territory for the use of the armed forces of a foreign power and also gave its consent to the exercise by a foreign government of criminal jurisdiction over certain offenses committed within Philippine territory. It is doubtful, to say the least, whether the President of the Republic of the Philippines, alone, may validly enter into such an agreement with a foreign government without the necessity of ratification thereof by the Senate in accordance with the Constitution.

Accordingly, on the prejudicial question whether the provisions of the MBA *as revised* should be applied in resolving the issue as to which government has primary jurisdiction over the offense committed by Cpl. Smith, US Marines, my answer is in the negative. Needless to add, the US Government should be informed officially that it has primarily jurisdiction over the said offense in accordance with the terms of the *original* MBA, it appearing that the offense was committed inside the base at Sangley Point.

Very truly yours,

CLAUDIO TEEHANKEE  
Secretary of Justice

**A STUDY OF JUDICIAL DATA ON THE NUMBER OF CASES  
FILED, PENDING AND DISPOSED OF IN THE COURTS  
OF FIRST INSTANCE OF THE PHILIPPINES  
BY PROVINCE (FY 1958 to 1968)\***

**I. INTRODUCTION**

There is a tendency in the field to equate "congestion" with "delay" and vice-versa. The fact is that both terms signify rather different concepts. Congestion generally refers to the huge volume of undisposed cases in a court's docket regardless of how long they have been pending in court. Delay, on the other hand, signifies the lapse of an abnormal length of time between the filing of a case and its termination by the court. A congested docket is one of the causes of delay and chronic delays generally result in a congested docket. In a way it may be said that the two are inseparable and follow a vicious circle of cause and effect.

**II. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE**

It is the broad purpose of this paper to contribute to the study and identification of the problem of congestion in the Courts of First Instance of the Philippines. The more specifically the problems of bottlenecks are identified, the more likely adequate reforms can be applied.

A vital function of statistical data from the courts is to call attention to the areas where congestion exists and where it is beginning to exist so that remedial steps can be taken before delays become chronic. It is usually not difficult to detect congestion. To confirm the fact of congestion in one court, one can start with a statistical table showing the number of cases commenced and terminated over a period of years and the number pending at the end of each year. An increase in the pending caseload if substantial, may indicate congestion and hence requires personal inspection of the court to inquire into its causes. The U.P. Law Center, with the assistance of the U.P. Statistical Center, has endeavored to prepare such statistical tables showing the following categories for a ten year period (1958-1968) for the Courts of First Instance in each province and for the nation as a whole: (1) Population, (2) Number of old cases pending, (3) Number of new cases filed, (4) Total caseload, (5) Number of cases disposed of, (6) Number of cases undisposed of, (7) Number of cases filed per 1,000 population,

---

\* Prepared by the U.P. Law Center with the collaboration of the U.P. Statistical Center.

(8) Index of new cases filed, (9) Index of total caseload, (10) Index of cases disposed of, (11) Percent of cases undisposed of. To show the available judicial manpower, the number of judges assigned to a province is also indicated.

The preparation of statistical tables by province makes it easier to individualize the study of the problem of congestion. Very often, sweeping statements and conclusions are made about the performance of the Courts of First Instance based on the more dramatic cases of delay which are published in the newspapers. A tremendous variation in the rates of filing and disposition of cases is reflected in the various statistical tables, from province to province and from year to year, making sweeping generalizations rather hazardous.

Aside from helping in the identification of the areas of congestion, the indices of the cases filed, pending and terminated enables one to determine the trends and patterns and relative changes over the years in the caseloads of the courts, particularly in the flow of cases in and out of the court system. A relative assessment of the overall performance of the courts in each province is made possible with knowledge of the rate and percent of cases disposed of. Using 1954-55 as the base year and  $1964-1965 = 100$ , comparability between years and from province to province can be achieved. Indeed, the statistical tables, present a beginning and provides many other possibilities not only for court supervisors and administrators but also for those who would like to make a deeper study and analysis of the problem of congestion and delay in the Courts of First Instance.

The statistical tables are attached hereto as Tables 1-57.

### III. SOURCES OF DATA

The ten year data on the number of cases filed, pending and disposed of in the Courts of First Instance of the Philippines, was furnished by the Judicial Superintendent's Office, Department of Justice. The data came in three separate tabulations entitled: (1) "Number of Cases Filed and Docketed in the Court of First Instance According to Judicial District and Province during the Last Ten (10) Years: (Fiscal Years 1958-1968)"; (2) "Number of Cases Pending in the Court of First Instance According to Judicial District and Province During the Last Ten (10) Years (FY 1958-1968)"; and (3) Number of Cases Disposed of in the Courts of First Instance According to Judicial District and Province the Last Ten (10) Years (FY 1958-1968)". The figures in these tabulations were taken from the monthly reports of the CFI courts to the Department of Justice, Form I-A (Revised 1962).

The data furnished reflect the sum total of the caseloads of all the CFI branches in each province. Data of caseloads for individual CFI branches are available only for Fiscal Year 1967-68.

The figures appearing in the various statistical tables prepared for this study were copied verbatim from the tabulations which were furnished by the Department of Justice. No attempts were made to correct discrepancies noted in the figures which were furnished by the Department.

Sources of data population are two publications prepared by the Bureau of Census and Statistics, namely, "Population Projection by Age Group, Regions and Provinces 1960-1970", and, *Handbook of Philippine Statistics 1903-1958*.

#### IV. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Presented herein are some of the more basic types of information which would be necessary to elucidate on the problem of congestion in our courts. Discussed in detail are findings with respect to the number of new cases filed per 1,000 population, the relative performance of the different CFI Courts based on average percent of cases disposed of and, the number of judges required to eliminate the backlog of cases in the various CFI Courts based on their case disposals.

The figures represent the average of the ten year period under study commencing in Fiscal Year 1958-1959 to Fiscal Year 1967-68.

##### A. *Average Number of New Cases Filed Per 1,000 Population*

An effort is made here to identify the most litigious areas on the basis of the number of new cases filed in the CFI courts each year in relation to population. The filings are categorized as *heavy*, *medium*, *light* and *very light*, depending on the frequency in which these new cases are filed per 1,000 population.

The national average based on the 10-year period under study is 1.5 new cases per 1,000 population. Manila and Rizal with over a million population have the heaviest filings and Bohol the lightest.

*Heavy* — Filings are characterized as "heavy" when new cases are filed at the rate of 3 or more cases per 1,000 population:

Ave. No. of Cases Filed Per 1,000 Population	Province
7.4	Manila
3.6	Rizal

*Medium*—Filings are “medium” when the rate of filing is 1.4 but not more than 3 cases per 1,000 Population:

Ave. No. of Cases Filed Per 1,000 Population	Province
2.0	Cavite
1.9	Capiz
	Nueva Vizcaya
1.8	Zambales
1.7	Bulacan
1.5	Albay
	Agusan
	La Union
	Leyte
	Misamis Occidental
	Mountain Province
	Zamboanga del Norte
1.4	Cagayan
	Davao
	Laguna
	Mindoro Occidental
	Quezon
	Zamboanga City

*Light*—Filings are “light” when new cases are filed at the rate of 1.3 but not less than 1 case per 1,000 Population:

Ave. No. of Cases Filed Per 1,000 Population	Province
1.3	Aklan
	Bataan
	Camarines Norte
	Cebu
	Ilocos Norte
	Masbate
	Pangasinan
1.2	Abra
	Camarines Sur
	Nueva Ecija
	Palawan
	Samar
1.1	Ilocos Sur
	Isabela
	Mindoro Oriental
	Misamis Oriental
	Sorsogon
	Surigao del Norte
	Surigao del Sur
1.0	Catanduanes
	Basilan City

**Very light** — Filings are "very light" when the filing rate for new cases is less than 1 case per 1,000 Population:

Ave. No. of Cases Filed Per 1,000 Population	Province
.96	Batangas
	Iloilo
.95	Bukidnon
.94	Pampanga
.86	Negros Oriental
.85	Marinduque
.81	Cotabato
.77	Romblon
.75	Lanao del Norte
	Zamboanga del Sur
.72	Tarlac
.70	Negros Occidental
.65	Sulu
.64	Batanes
.63	Antique
.59	Lanao del Sur
.54	Bohol

It would have been more significant if information were available about the nature of the cases that were filed and hence, tried in the various charts. Department of Justice Form I-A (Revised 1962), the monthly report of the CFI to the Department of Justice, requires a report by type of case — criminal, ordinary civil actions, special proceedings, special civil actions, land cases, administrative cases, election contests, naturalization and all other cases. Unfortunately, these data have not been tabulated by the Department and hence, were not available at the time of this study.

#### *B. Relative Performance of the Different Courts of First Instance By Province*

There is indeed a lot of truth to the statement that our Courts of First Instance are not speedy at all.<sup>1</sup> For the ten year period under study, the CFI Courts combined only disposed of an average of 35% or slightly over one third of their caseloads. By individual years, the high was 39% in 1958 and the low was 31% in 1960. The percentage for 1968 was 38%.

<sup>1</sup> "Senate Findings On Justice's Delay — Why So Slow Your Honor?" *Sunday Times Magazine*, June 29, 1969, p. 14.

The performance of the courts in the various provinces is presented below and is characterized as *above average*, *average* and *below average*, in relation to the national average. To give a more comprehensive picture of the rates of disposal in other years, the highest and lowest rate of disposition is also indicated.

*Above Average*—Courts whose case disposal is better than the national average of 35% fall under this category. There are 19 provinces in this category.

Province	Ten Year Ave. of Cases Disposed of	High	Low
Davao	77.4	95.0 ('60)	38.5 ('61)
Manila	47.8	51.6 ('65)	44.5 ('60)
Palawan	47.3	63.7 ('60)	20.7 ('62)
Abra	47.2	91.2 ('61)	13.7 ('65)
Lanao del Sur	45.6	98.8 ('65)	14.6 ('61)
Batanes*	45.5	67.8 ('66)	30.0 ('65)
Zamboanga del Norte	43.6	49.9 ('64)	34.7 ('59)
Bohol	43.4	55.8 ('63)	36.4 ('66)
Laguna	43.2	58.4 ('67)	32.6 ('62)
Batangas	42.5	46.9 ('65)	32.5 ('61)
Cagayan	42.5	54.2 ('63)	21.4 ('65)
Mindoro Occidental	41.7	68.8 ('61)	21.6 ('62)
Leyte	41.6	50.5 ('64)	40.0 ('60)
Samar	41.4	58.1 ('64)	18.9 ('62)
Cavite	40.3	57.3 ('80)	17.8 ('71)
Surigao del Norte	40.3	56.0 ('67)	22.1 ('62)
Basilan City	40.2	67.9 ('63)	16.4 ('66)
Cebu	38.9	96.9 ('59)	32.0 ('62)
Catanduanes	38.6	68.2 ('65)	12.4 ('67)
Cotabato	36.9	64.3 ('68)	22.0 ('59)

*Average*—Courts whose average case disposal is equal to the national average of 35% come within this category. There are 3 such provinces.

Province	Ten Year Ave. of Cases Disposed of	High	Low
Rizal	35.6	39.1 ('64)	30.9 ('66)
Nueva Ecija	35.5	36.9 ('67)	27.4 ('68)
Misamis Occidental	35.1	58.9 ('67)	.57 ('68)

\* Served from Cagayan.



*Below Average* — Courts which disposed of an average of less than 35% of their caseloads constitute the majority in the country. 34 provinces fall within this category.

Province	Ten Year Ave. of Cases Disposed of	High	Low
Sorsogon	34.7	42.0 ('63)	27.7 ('62)
Negros Occidental	34.0	40.8 ('63)	24.6 ('61)
Iloilo	33.6	40.0 ('56)	28.8 ('59)
Sulu	33.4	55.4 ('61)	24.2 ('68)
Surigao del Sur	33.3	40.6 ('66)	29.0 ('61)
Mindoro Oriental	33.2	60.5 ('63)	5.6 ('61)
Nueva Vizcaya	32.5	50.5 ('60)	21.3 ('68)
Ilocos Sur	32.2	51.6 ('60)	20.3 ('62)
Pampanga	32.2	38.6 ('60)	26.9 ('68)
Pangasinan	32.1	36.6 ('60)	27.0 ('61)
Camarines Sur*	31.8	44.0 ('63)	25.8 ('68)
Marinduque	31.7	52.1 ('60)	13.5 ('66)
Capiz	30.8	47.8 ('68)	20.7 ('63)
Zamboanga del Sur	30.8	43.2 ('60)	14.7 ('65)
Agusan	30.4	54.6 ('68)	21.1 ('60)
Bulacan	30.4	42.6 ('68)	21.5 ('61)
Mountain Province	30.2	44.3 ('65)	21.6 ('68)
Antique	30.1	40.5 ('61)	21.7 ('64)
Lanao del Norte	29.0	45.0 ('61)	15.1 ('62)
Isabela	28.5	42.8 ('65)	19.9 ('59)
Albay	28.4	37.6 ('64)	19.3 ('61)
Camarines Norte	27.5	35.4 ('62)	13.1 ('66)
La Union	27.1	35.1 ('68)	21.8 ('62)
Misamis Oriental	26.6	45.3 ('68)	12.6 ('60)
Romblon	25.9	43.0 ('59)	19.2 ('68)
Bukidnon	25.7	41.9 ('60)	13.0 ('67)
Tarlac	25.6	37.9 ('67)	10.6 ('62)
Bataan	25.1	38.3 ('66)	17.1 ('62)
Negros Oriental	24.3	33.2 ('61)	19.7 ('66)
Zambales	24.2	30.9 ('65)	15.9 ('61)
Aklan	23.9	37.2 ('64)	13.8 ('67)
Ilocos Norte	22.4	33.7 ('67)	11.6 ('62)
Zamboanga City	21.5	25.0 ('63)	14.7 ('68)
Quezon	21.5	28.1 ('68)	12.0 ('64)
Masbate	20.1	34.4 ('68)	9.3 ('67)

The size of the backlog in the CFI courts of each province can also be discerned from the above figures. Each year, it seems that about 65% or two-thirds of the caseload of the courts are carried over to the succeeding years as pending cases. The percentage would be considerably higher for courts whose rate of disposition is below average. The large volume of cases which are left pending and carried over from year to year may very well be a major cause of congestion and delay in the CFI

\* Served from Cagayan.

courts more than an increase in the yearly filings of new cases. There are obviously many reasons for this condition. The shortage of judges, lack of court personnel, inefficient business methods, too rigid and too technical rules of procedure that slow down the hearings, dilatory tactics on the part of the lawyers are but a few that need to be looked into in more detail.

It would have been more meaningful if data were available which indicate the method by which these various cases were disposed of. It may be that the majority of cases were simply dismissed without any trial whatsoever. Others may have been terminated at the pre-trial stage or after a trial. Moreover, it would have been most helpful to know how long have these various cases been pending at the time of their disposition in order to be able to determine whether there has been a considerable delay or not. These types of information are required to be reported by the Department of Justice in the monthly reports of the CFI courts (See: Items III, VII, VIII, IX and X of Form 1-A). Unfortunately, however, these data have not been tabulated by the Department and hence were not available at the time of this study.

*C. Number of Judges Required to Dispose of the Backlog of Cases in each Province*

Based solely on the demonstrated capacity of the various CFI courts to dispose of their cases in the last ten years, an estimate is made here on the number of judges that will be required to dispose of the backlog of pending cases in their respective courts. It is not being suggested that an increase in the number of judgeships is the only way of solving the problem. It is one of the more obvious ways, however, since judges are the only individuals who are empowered to conduct trials and render final judgments in our judicial system. In the event that additional judges are contemplated, presented below is a guide to the distribution and assignment of such judges:

Province	Ave. Percent of Cases Disposed of (1958-1968)	Actual No. of Judges*	Desired No. of Judges	No. of Addi- tional Judges Needed
National Average	35%	240	626	386
Abra	47.2	1	2	1
Aklan	23.9	2	8	6
Albay	28.4	3	9	6
Antique	30.1	1	3	2
Agusan	30.4	3	9	6

\* The actual number of judges is based on the positions created by the various Acts and Republic Acts, up to and including RA 5147 (1967).

Bataan	25.1	1	4	3
Batanes	45.5	1**		
Batangas	42.5	3	6	3
Bohol	43.4	3	6	3
Bulacan	30.4	5	15	10
Bukidnon	25.7	1	4	3
Cagayan	42.5	4	8	4
Cavite	40.3	4	8	4
Camarines Norte	27.5	2	6	4
Camarines Sur	31.8	5	15	10
Capiz	30.8	3	9	6
Catanduanes	38.6	1	2	1
Cebu	38.9	12	24	12
Cotabato	36.9	5	10	5
Davao	77.4	6	7	1
Ilocos Norte	22.4	4	16	12
Ilocos Sur	32.2	4	12	8
Isabela	28.5	4	12	8
Iloilo	33.6	7	21	14
Laguna	43.2	4	8	4
Lanao del Norte	29.0	2	6	4
Lanao del Sur	45.6	2	4	2
La Union	27.1	4	12	8

Province	Ave. Percent of Cases Disposed of (1958-1968)	Actual No. of Judges*	Desired No. of Judges	No. of Addi- tional Judges Needed
Leyte	41.6	10	20	10
Manila	47.8	25	50	25
Marinduque	31.7	1	3	2
Masbate	20.1	2	10	8
Mindoro Occidental	41.7	2	4	2
Mindoro Oriental	33.2	2	6	4
Misamis Occidental	35.1	3	6	3
Misamis Oriental	26.6	5	15	10
Mountain Province	30.2	8	24	16
Negros Occidental	24.3	7	21	14
Negros Oriental	24.3	3	12	9
Nueva Ecija	35.5	7	21	14
Nueva Vizcaya	32.5	2	6	4
Palawan	47.3	2	4	2
Pampanga	32.2	4	12	8
Pangasinan	32.1	11	33	22
Quezon	21.5	6	30	24
Rizal	35.6	15	30	15
Romblon	25.9	1	4	3

\*\* Served from Cagayan.

\* The number of judges is based on the positions created by the various Acts and Republic Acts, up to and including RA 5147 (1967).

Samar	41.4	9	18	9
Sorsogon	34.7	2	4	2
Sulu	33.4	2	6	4
Surigao del Norte	40.3	3	6	3
Surigao del Sur	33.3	2	6	4
Tarlac	25.6	3	12	9
Zambales	24.2	2	8	6
Zamboanga del Norte	43.6	2	4	2
Zamboanga del Sur	30.8	1	3	2
Zamboanga City	21.5	1	5	4
Basilan City	40.2	1	2	1

## V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. This study does not attempt to pinpoint the causes of congestion and delay in the Courts of First Instance. For this purpose, a field study of a random sample of CFI courts is highly recommended. Only through a field study can a true picture be obtained of the daily business of the courts as well as the daily pressures and strains that impinge upon the system. The need for additional judicial manpower, supplies, and higher salaries is one thing. But in the long run, the more crucial determinants of whether each court will be able to overcome its backlog relate to the efficiency of its internal operations and personnel and, to the adequacy of the rules of procedure that are used in the hearing and disposition of cases. A true assessment of these factors can only be gained by visiting the courts, observing the hearings, interviewing selected court personnel, studying the method of calendaring and processing cases and, by reading selected case records.

2. There is much to be desired in the present method of compiling and tabulating judicial data. It has been noted that much of the data in Form I-A which are directly relevant to the problem of congestion and delay are not tabulated and, in many instances, the process of tabulation is by hand. It is gratifying to note that there are plans to computerize the monthly reporting system. In the event of computerization, steps should be taken to ensure the accuracy and relevancy of the data collected by establishing standards and uniform criteria for use by all the CFI courts in filling up their monthly reports.

3. Though there is much concern over delay and congestion in the hearing and disposition of cases, so far there has been no attempt to define what is delay and how it is measured. When can one say that the hearing and disposition of a case has been delayed? Is delay synonymous with congestion? What is a "normal" caseload for a court and for a judge to handle and dispose of at a given time? Answers to these basic questions simply cannot be found in the statistical data available.

4. Before entirely condemning the courts for the problem of congestion and delay, it may do us well to understand that some congestion and delay is inevitable in the process of litigation. The courts are called upon to decide questions of fact and law on which the parties find it difficult, if not impossible, to agree and in order to be able to reach a decision that is just and equitable it is necessary that there be patient investigation and examination of facts and issues involved. Witnesses have to be located and examined and, consideration has to be given to the rights of the parties. Such investigations take time, which in the eyes of the ordinary layman may often be misconstrued to be delayed.

In the matter of the court dockets, it is also inevitable that old and new cases will mingle in the caseloads at a given period of time. It would be simple if the process of dispensing justice were mechanized so that all cases proceed on an even and uniform pace — cases that are filed first, move first, with the rest advancing in the sequence of their filing. Unfortunately, however, this is not the reality. Cases vary by type and complexity. Like people, no two cases are alike in all aspects. Some are simple and some are complicated, some are more urgent than others and hence move at a faster speed toward trial and termination. While justice delayed is justice denied, on the other hand, patient investigation and examination are what the public expects of the courts. They want no hasty, capricious judgments and would be the first to condemn “instant” justice.

Submitted by:

GRACE P. BLASZKOWSKI

Senior Researcher

Division of Research and Law Reform

Table 1

## NATIONAL TOTAL

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (Millions)	23,122	23,596	27,088	28,313	29,257	30,241	31,270	32,345	33,477	34,656	35,883
Old Cases Pending	73,870	77,093	78,704	82,120	84,708	83,920	80,572	81,773	81,925	83,130	85,341
New Cases Filed	45,389	45,742	40,471	42,195	46,523	43,588	44,856	47,318	47,794	51,629	—
Total Caseload	119,259	112,835	119,175	124,315	131,213	127,508	125,427	129,091	129,567	134,759	—
Cases Disposed	43,105	44,051	37,025	39,647	47,311	46,936	43,655	47,166	46,589	49,418	—
Cases Undisposed	76,154	68,784	82,150	84,668	83,902	80,572	81,772	81,925	82,978	85,341	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.90	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.50**
Index of New Cases Filed*	103.97	101.9	90.2	94.0	103.7	97.1	100.0	105.4	106.5	115.1	101.78**
Index of Total Caseload	91.01	90.0	95.0	99.1	104.6	101.6	100.0	102.9	103.3	107.4	99.49**
Index of Cases Disposed of	98.74	100.9	84.9	90.8	108.4	107.5	100.0	108.0	106.7	113.2	101.90**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	30	39	31	32	36	37	35	36	36	37	35**
No. of CFI Judges:	240										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 2

## A B R A

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	104,110	115,000	117,000	120,000	124,000	129,000	133,000	137,000	142,000	147,000	152,000
Old Cases Pending	360	347	153	289	344	363	394	427	290	158	94
New Cases Filed	160	233	165	191	119	170	101	120	125	163	—
Total Caseload	520	580	318	480	463	533	495	547	345	321	—
Cases Disposed of	173	427	29	136	100	139	68	327	187	227	—
Cases Undisposed	347	153	289	344	363	394	427	220	158	94	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.50	2.00	1.40	1.60	.96	1.30	.76	.87	.88	1.10	1.2 <sup>oo</sup>
Index of New Cases Filed <sup>o</sup>	158.30	230.00	163.40	189.10	117.80	168.30	100.00	118.80	123.80	161.40	153.09 <sup>oo</sup>
Index of Total Caseload	105.00	117.20	64.20	97.00	93.50	107.70	100.00	110.50	69.70	64.84	92.96 <sup>oo</sup>
Index of Cases Disposed of	254.00	628.80	42.60	200.00	147.00	204.40	100.00	480.10	275.00	333.80	266.57 <sup>oo</sup>
Percent of Cases Disposed of	33.28	73.62	91.19	28.33	21.59	26.07	13.73	59.78	54.20	70.71	47.24 <sup>oo</sup>
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

<sup>o</sup> For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

<sup>oo</sup> Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 3

## A K L A N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	196	226	229	236	244	252	261	269	279	288	298
Old Cases Pending	441	574	685	713	816	898	909	970	911	999	1,066
New Cases Filed	304	469	230	416	244	549	253	361	249	305	—
Total Caseload	745	1,043	915	1,129	1,060	1,447	1,162	1,331	1,160	1,304	—
Cases Disposed of	171	358	202	313	162	528	191	420	161	238	—
Cases Undisposed	574	685	713	816	898	919	971	911	999	1,066	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.5	2.1	1.0	1.6	1.0	2.2	.97	1.3	.81	1.0	1.3**
Index of New Cases Filed*	120.1	185.4	90.9	164.4	96.4	216.9	100.00	142.6	98.40	120.55	— 133.5**
Index of Total Caseload	64.1	89.7	78.7	97.2	91.3	124.5	100.00	114.5	99.80	112.20	— 90.10**
Index of Cases Disposed of	89.5	187.4	105.7	163.9	84.8	276.4	100.00	219.9	84.30	124.60	— 143.6**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	22.9	34.3	22.1	27.7	15.3	37.2	16.4	31.5	13.80	18.20	— 23.94**
No. of CFI Judges:	2										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.



Table 4

## A L B A Y

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	474	515	521	538	556	575	594	615	636	658	682
Old Cases Pending	1,694	1,856	2,072	2,330	2,447	2,268	1,987	2,019	1,792	1,827	2,158
New Cases Filed	761	723	814	835	892	921	1,071	694	868	1,269	—
Total Caseload	2,455	2,579	2,886	3,165	3,339	3,189	3,058	2,713	2,660	3,096	—
Cases Disposed of	599	507	556	718	1,071	1,202	1,039	921	833	938	—
Cases Undisposed	1,856	2,072	2,330	2,447	1,071	1,987	2,019	1,792	1,827	2,158	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.6	1.40	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.5**
Index of New Cases Filed*	71.0	67.5	76.0	78.0	83.9	85.9	100.0	64.8	81.0	118.5	—
Index of Total Caseload	80.3	84.3	94.4	103.5	109.9	104.3	100.0	88.7	73.9	101.2	—
Index of Cases Disposed of	57.6	48.8	53.5	69.1	103.0	115.7	100.0	88.6	80.10	90.3	—
Percent of Cases Disposed of	24.4	19.65	19.3	22.7	32.0	37.6	33.9	33.9	31.3	30.2	—
No. of CFI Judges: 3											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 5

## A G U S A N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	152	271	274	283	293	303	313	324	336	348	361
Old Cases Pending	613	729	853	918	1,050	951	1,150	1,234	1,112	1,122	670
New Cases Filed	388	352	232	523	592	752	467	452	358	355	—
Total Caseload	1,001	1,081	1,085	1,441	1,642	1,703	1,617	1,686	1,470	1,477	—
Cases Disposed of	272	228	167	391	691	553	383	574	348	807	—
Cases Undisposed	729	853	918	1,050	951	1,150	1,234	1,112	1,122	670	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.5	1.3	.9	1.8	2.0	2.5	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.5**
Index of New Cases Filed*	83.1	75.4	49.7	112.0	126.8	161.0	100.0	96.8	76.7	76.0	95.7**
Index of Total Caseload	61.9	66.9	67.1	89.1	89.8	101.5	100.0	104.3	90.9	91.3	86.3**
Index of Cases Disposed of	92.9	94.1	43.6	102.1	180.4	144.4	100.0	149.5	90.9	210.7	120.8**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	27.2	21.1	15.4	27.1	42.1	32.5	23.7	34.0	26.7	54.6	30.4**
No. of CFI Judges:	3										

Note: This includes Agusan del Norte and Agusan del Sur.

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 6

## A N T I Q U E

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	233	238	241	249	257	266	275	284	294	305	315
Old Cases Pending	99	265	285	256	258	291	324	324	360	355	382
New Cases Filed	248	154	145	121	157	123	146	174	165	207	—
Total Caseload	347	419	430	877	415	414	470	498	525	562	—
Cases Disposed of	82	134	174	119	124	90	146	138	170	180	—
Cases Undisposed	265	285	256	258	291	324	324	360	355	382	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.10	.65	.60	.48	.61	.46	.53	.61	.56	.68	.63
Index of New Cases Filed*	169.90	105.50	99.30	82.90	107.50	84.20	100.00	119.20	118.00	141.80	— 112.30**
Index of Total Caseload	73.80	89.10	91.50	80.20	88.30	88.10	100.00	105.90	111.70	119.50	— 94.81**
Index of Cases Disposed of	56.20	91.80	119.20	81.50	84.90	61.60	100.00	94.50	116.40	123.30	— 92.94**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	23.60	32.00	40.50	31.60	29.9	21.70	31.10	27.10	32.40	32.00	— 30.19**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 7

B A T A A N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	111	145	147	152	157	162	168	174	180	187	193
Old Cases Pending	421	391	460	561	626	696	704	626	503	504	574
New Cases Filed	187	217	222	194	219	190	165	189	202	243	—
Total Caseload	603	608	682	755	845	886	869	815	705	747	—
Cases Disposed of	217	148	121	129	149	182	243	312	201	173	—
Cases Undisposed	391	460	561	626	696	704	626	503	504	574	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.2	.98	1.1	1.1	1.3	— 1.30**
Index of New Cases Filed*	113.3	131.5	134.5	117.5	132.7	115.1	100.00	114.5	122.4	147.2	— 122.87**
Index of Total Caseload	70.0	70.0	78.5	86.8	97.2	101.9	100.00	93.8	81.1	86.0	— 72.67**
Index of Cases Disposed of	89.3	60.9	49.8	53.1	61.3	74.8	100.00	128.4	82.7	71.2	— 77.15**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	35.7	24.3	17.7	17.1	17.6	20.5	28.00	38.3	28.5	23.1	— 25.08**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 8

## B A T A N E S

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	10,705	10,000	10,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	12,000	12,000	13,000	13,000	14,000
Old Cases Pending	17	15	15	12	10	9	17	21	9	12	13
New Cases Filed	16	8	12	6	9	9	13	7	3	12	—
Total Caseload	33	23	27	18	19	18	30	28	12	24	—
Cases Disposed of	18	8	15	8	10	1	9	19	0	11	—
Cases Undisposed	15	15	12	10	9	17	21	9	12	13	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.15	.80	1.20	.55	.82	.82	1.10	.53	.23	.92	.64**
Index of New Cases Filed*	123.00	61.5	92.3	46.10	69.20	69.2	100.00	53.80	23.10	92.80	73.05**
Index of Total Caseload	110.00	76.70	90.00	60.00	63.90	60.00	100.00	93.90	40.00	80.00	77.33**
Index of Cases Disposed of	200.00	88.88	116.60	88.88	111.10	12.20	100.00	211.1	—	122.20	105.08**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	54.54	34.78	55.55	44.44	52.63	61.11	30.00	67.85	—	54.17	45.50**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 9

## B A T A N G A S

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	613	682	689	712	786	760	786	813	814	870	900
Old Cases Pending	975	991	1,051	1,023	1,049	948	987	897	840	889	902
New Cases Filed	832	813	466	649	700	748	670	662	795	741	—
Total Caseload	1,807	1,804	1,517	1,672	1,749	1,696	1,657	1,559	1,635	1,630	—
Cases Disposed of	795	753	494	623	801	709	778	701	746	728	—
Cases Undisposed	1,012	1,051	1,023	1,049	948	987	879	858	889	902	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.40	1.20	.68	.91	.95	.98	.85	.81	.98	.85	.98**
Index of New Cases Filed*	124.17	121.34	69.55	96.96	104.47	111.64	100.00	98.80	118.65	110.59	— 105.60**
Index of Total Caseload	109.05	108.87	91.55	100.90	105.55	102.35	100.00	94.08	98.67	98.37	— 100.93**
Index of Cases Disposed of	108.18	96.78	63.49	80.07	102.95	91.13	100.00	90.10	95.88	93.57	— 92.21**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	43.99	41.74	32.56	37.26	45.79	41.80	46.95	44.96	45.62	44.66	— 42.53**
No. of CFI Judges: 3											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 10

## B O H O L

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	553	592	599	619	639	661	683	706	730	755	781
Old Cases Pending	554	520	485	499	468	354	358	406	399	476	463
New Cases Filed	385	288	390	332	334	364	332	379	354	376	—
Total Caseload	939	808	815	831	802	718	710	785	753	852	—
Cases Disposed of	419	323	316	363	448	360	304	286	277	389	—
Cases Undisposed	520	485	499	468	354	358	406	499	476	463	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.69	.49	.55	.54	.52	.55	.52	.54	.48	.50	.54 <sup>••</sup>
Index of New Cases Filed <sup>•</sup>	109.37	81.81	93.75	94.31	94.88	103.40	100.00	107.67	100.56	106.81	99.25 <sup>••</sup>
Index of Total Caseload	132.25	113.80	114.78	117.04	112.95	101.12	100.00	110.56	106.05	120.00	112.90 <sup>••</sup>
Index of Cases Disposed of	137.82	106.24	103.94	119.40	147.36	118.42	100.00	94.07	91.11	127.96	114.63 <sup>••</sup>
Percent of Cases Disposed of	44.62	39.97	38.77	43.68	55.86	50.13	42.81	36.43	36.78	45.65	43.47 <sup>••</sup>
No. of CFI Judges:	3										

<sup>•</sup> For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

<sup>••</sup> Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 11

## B U K I D N O N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	76,300	194	197	203	211	218	226	235	244	254	264
Old Cases Pending	108	157	148	225	288	392	434	513	614	755	805
New Cases Filed	126	98	167	188	198	178	222	260	254	190	—
Total Caseload	234	255	315	413	486	570	656	773	868	945	—
Cases Disposed of	81	107	90	125	132	136	143	157	113	150	—
Cases Undisposed	153	148	225	288	354	434	513	614	755	795	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.60	.50	.84	.92	.93	.81	.98	1.12	1.04	.74	.95**
Index of Cases Filed*	56.75	44.14	25.22	84.68	89.18	80.18°	100.00	117.11	114.41	85.58	84.72**
Index of Total Caseload	35.67	38.87	48.01	62.95	74.08	86.89	100.00	117.83	132.31	144.05	84.06**
Index of Cases Disposed of	56.64	74.82	62.93	87.41	92.30	95.10	100.00	111.18	79.02	104.89	86.42**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	34.61	41.96	28.57	30.26	27.16	23.85	21.79	20.56	13.01	15.87	25.76**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.



Table 12

## B U L A C A N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	454	556	562	581	600	620	642	664	687	711	736
Old Cases Pending	1,759	1,895	1,932	2,137	2,316	2,299	2,421	2,198	2,130	2,137	2,306
New Cases Filed	890	916	791	836	799	970	962	1,252	1,016	1,847	—
Total Caseload	2,649	2,811	2,723	2,973	3,115	3,269	3,383	3,450	3,146	3,984	—
Cases Disposed of	780	883	586	657	816	848	1,185	1,320	1,009	1,698	—
Cases Undisposed	1,869	1,928	2,137	2,316	2,299	2,421	2,198	2,130	2,137	2,286	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.30	1.60	1.40	1.40	1.30	1.60	1.50	1.90	1.50	2.60	1.7**
Index of New Cases Filed*	92.51	95.21	82.22	86.90	83.05	100.83	100.00	130.14	105.61	191.99	106.84**
Index of Total Caseload	78.30	83.09	80.49	87.88	92.07	96.63	100.00	37.00	92.99	117.76	95.9**
Index of Cases Disposed of	65.82	74.51	49.45	55.44	68.86	71.56	100.00	111.39	85.14	143.29	82.54**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	29.44	31.41	21.52	22.09	26.19	25.94	35.02	38.26	32.07	42.62	30.45**
No. of CFI Judges:	5										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 13

## C A C A Y A N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	373	445	451	465	481	497	514	532	551	570	590
Old Cases Pending	929	751	712	757	778	752	833	1,098	1,041	1,065	1,067
New Cases Filed	727	603	632	533	865	618	564	748	834	611	—
Total Caseload	1,656	1,354	1,344	1,290	1,643	1,370	1,397	1,846	1,875	1,676	—
Cases Disposed of	883	642	587	551	891	537	299	805	810	609	—
Cases Undisposed	773	772	757	739	752	833	1,098	1,041	1,065	1,067	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.00	1.33	1.40	1.14	1.89	1.20	.89	1.40	1.51	1.17	1.33**
Index of New Cases Filed*	128.90	106.91	112.05	90.55	153.36	109.57	100.00	132.62	147.87	108.33	119.01**
Index of Total Caseload	118.55	96.92	96.20	92.34	117.60	98.06	100.00	132.14	134.21	119.97	110.50**
Index of Cases Disposed of	295.31	214.71	196.32	184.28	297.99	179.59	100.00	269.23	270.90	203.67	221.20**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	53.32	47.41	43.67	42.71	54.23	39.19	21.40	43.60	43.20	36.33	42.50**
No. of CFI Judges:	4										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 14

## CAMARINES NORTE

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	124	188	190	197	203	210	217	225	232	241	249
Old Cases Filed	532	556	612	624	600	648	654	731	773	717	708
New Cases Filed	325	388	273	305	308	270	236	159	218	250	—
Total Caseload	857	944	885	929	908	918	890	890	991	967	—
Cases Disposed of	901	932	261	329	260	264	159	117	274	259	—
Cases Undisposed	556	612	624	600	648	654	731	773	717	708	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.6	2.1	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.1	.7	.9	1.1	1.3**
Index of New Cases Filed*	137.7	164.4	115.6	129.2	130.5	114.4	100.0	67.4	92.3	103.9	115.7**
Index of Total Caseload	96.2	106.0	99.4	104.3	102.0	103.1	100.0	100.0	111.3	108.6	103.1**
Index of Cases Disposed of	189.3	208.8	164.1	206.9	163.5	166.0	100.0	73.6	172.3	162.9	160.7**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	35.1	35.2	29.5	35.4	28.6	28.7	17.9	13.1	27.6	26.8	27.8**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 15

## CAMARINES SUR

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	665	820	829	857	886	916	947	980	1,015	1,052	1,090
Old Cases Pending	2,662	2,841	2,620	2,599	2,343	2,124	1,987	2,138	2,147	2,427	2,124
New Cases Filed	1,297	1,089	1,112	954	1,451	1,038	1,044	1,010	1,215	1,087	—
Total Caseload	3,959	3,930	3,732	3,553	3,794	3,162	3,031	3,148	3,362	3,514	—
Cases Disposed of	1,118	1,310	1,133	1,210	1,670	1,175	893	1,001	935	908	—
Cases Undisposed	2,841	2,620	2,599	2,343	2,124	1,987	2,138	2,147	2,427	2,606	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.90	1.30	1.30	1.10	1.60	1.10	1.10	1.00	1.20	1.00	1.20**
Index of New Cases Filed*	124.90	89.51	107.10	91.90	139.70	100.00	100.50	97.30	117.00	104.70	117.28**
Index of Total Caseload	125.20	124.20	117.60	112.30	119.90	100.00	95.80	99.50	106.3	111.10	111.19**
Index of Cases Disposed of	94.62	111.40	96.42	102.90	142.10	100.00	76.00	93.62	79.57	77.27	97.39**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	28.20	33.30	30.30	34.00	44.00	37.10	29.40	31.70	27.80	25.80	31.89**
No. of CFI Judges:	5										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 16

## CAPIZ

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	294	315	319	329	340	352	364	376	389	403	417
Old Cases Pending	1,149	1,157	1,196	1,098	1,080	1,360	1,387	1,462	1,769	1,743	1,722
New Cases Filed	450	682	364	801	637	613	410	1,155	551	765	—
Total Caseload	1,599	1,839	1,560	1,899	1,717	1,973	1,797	2,617	2,320	1,508	—
Cases Disposed of	416	643	462	819	357	536	335	848	577	786	—
Cases Undisposed	1,183	1,196	1,098	1,080	1,360	1,387	1,462	1,769	1,743	1,722	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.50	2.20	1.14	2.48	1.97	1.74	1.12	3.17	1.41	1.87	1.86**
Index of New Cases Filed*	109.75	166.34	88.78	195.36	155.36	149.51	100.00	281.95	134.39	184.39	156.50**
Index of Total Caseload	88.93	102.28	86.76	106.14	95.60	109.73	100.00	145.55	129.10	83.91	104.80**
Index of Cases Disposed of	124.17	191.94	137.91	244.47	106.56	174.92	100.00	253.13	172.23	234.62	173.99**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	26.02	34.96	29.61	43.13	20.79	29.70	18.64	32.40	24.87	47.88	30.80**
No. of CFI Judges: 3											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 17

## C A T A N D U A N E S

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	134	156	158	163	169	174	180	187	193	200	207
Old Cases Pending	373	377	307	254	174	148	119	60	174	786	752
New Cases Filed	133	100	100	81	110	123	70	225	724	102	—
Total Caseload	506	477	407	335	284	271	189	285	898	888	—
Cases Disposed of	130	170	153	161	136	152	129	111	112	136	—
Cases Undisposed	376	307	254	174	148	119	60	174	786	752	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.99	.64	.70	.50	.65	.71	.39	1.40	3.70	.51	1.00**
Index of New Cases Filed*	190.00	142.80	142.80	115.70	157.10	175.30	100.00	321.40	1034.20	145.70	252.50**
Index of Total Caseload	267.70	252.40	215.40	177.30	150.30	143.40	100.00	150.80	475.20	469.90	240.40**
Index of Cases Disposed of	100.80	131.80	118.60	124.80	105.40	117.80	100.00	87.00	87.00	105.40	107.86**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	25.69	35.63	37.59	48.05	47.88	56.08	68.25	38.94	12.47	15.31	38.58**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 18

## C A V I T E

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	315	378	383	395	408	422	437	452	468	484	502
Old Cases Pending	1,444	51	1,073	1,251	1,492	1,446	1,540	1,634	944	1,014	1,089
New Cases Filed	489	2,854	444	664	533	545	608	594	592	709	—
Total Caseload	1,933	2,905	1,517	1,915	2,025	1,991	2,148	2,228	1,536	1,723	—
Cases Disposed of	1,882	1,832	266	423	579	451	514	1,234	572	634	—
Cases Undisposed	51	1,073	1,251	1,492	1,446	1,540	1,634	944	1,014	1,089	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.5	7.5	1.2	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.5	2.0**
Index of New Cases Filed*	80.4	469.0	73.0	109.2	87.6	89.6	100.0	97.6	97.3	116.6	— 132.03**
Index of Total Caseload	89.9	135.2	70.6	89.1	94.2	92.6	100.0	103.7	73.8	80.2	— 110.70**
Index of Cases Disposed of	366.1	356.4	51.7	82.2	112.6	87.7	100.0	240.0	111.2	123.3	— 150.7**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	97.3	63.0	17.5	22.1	28.6	22.6	23.9	55.3	36.1	36.8	— 40.30**
No. of CFI Judges:	4										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 19

C E B U

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	1,123	1,333	1,349	1,393	1,438	1,486	1,535	1,586	1,639	1,694	1,751
Old Cases Pending	3,427	3,224	2,888	2,825	3,066	3,286	3,309	3,250	3,471	3,764	3,982
New Cases Filed	1,861	2,657	1,496	1,687	1,823	1,851	1,635	2,336	2,124	2,186	—
Total Caseload	5,288	5,881	4,384	4,512	4,889	5,137	4,944	5,586	5,595	5,950	—
Cases Disposed of	2,064	2,993	1,559	1,446	1,603	1,828	1,694	2,115	1,831	1,968	—
Cases Undisposed	3,224	2,888	2,825	3,066	3,286	3,309	3,250	3,471	3,764	3,982	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.6	2.0	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.3**
Index of New Cases Filed*	113.82	162.5	91.5	103.2	88.85	88.68	100.0	142.87	129.9	133.7	111.9**
Index of Total Caseload	89.30	118.95	91.14	90.85	90.12	103.90	100.0	112.98	88.68	120.34	100.6**
Index of Cases Disposed of	87.82	176.68	90.80	91.46	94.62	107.91	100.0	124.85	108.08	116.17	109.8**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	96.95	50.89	35.56	32.04	32.78	35.58	34.26	37.86	32.72	33.07	38.89**
No. of CFI Judges:	12										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.



Table 20

## COTABATO

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	528,000	1,029,000	1,041,000	1,076,000	1,112,000	1,150,000	1,180,000	1,231,000	1,276,000	1,322,000	1,370,000
Old Cases Pending	2,100	2,305	2,385	2,080	2,232	2,014	1,866	1,938	1,640	1,504	1,571
New Cases Filed	856	787	611	663	867	950	885	1,014	851	937	—
Total Caseload	2,956	3,092	2,996	2,743	3,099	2,964	2,751	2,952	2,491	2,441	—
Cases Disposed of	651	707	916	511	1,085	1,098	813	1,312	987	870	—
Cases Undisposed	2,305	2,385	2,080	2,232	2,014	1,866	1,938	1,640	1,504	1,571	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.60	.76	.59	.62	.78	.83	.74	.82	.67	.71	.81**
Index of New Cases Filed*	96.72	88.92	69.03	74.91	90.20	107.34	100.00	114.57	96.15	105.87	94.30**
Index of Total Caseload	109.45	112.39	108.90	99.70	107.74	112.97	100.00	107.30	90.54	88.73	103.04**
Index of Cases Disposed of	80.07	86.96	112.66	62.85	133.45	135.05	100.00	161.37	121.40	107.01	110.03**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	22.02	22.86	30.57	22.78	35.01	37.04	29.55	44.44	60.38	64.36	36.90**
No. of CFI Judges: 5											

This includes Cotabato and South Cotabato.

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 21

## D A V A O

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	438	893	904	934	965	998	1,032	1,068	1,106	1,146	1,187
Old Cases Pending	1,459	1,571	1,614	1,595	1,527	1,684	1,744	2,002	2,100	1,685	1,557
New Cases Filed	1,409	1,117	979	1,057	1,332	1,153	1,212	1,483	1,557	1,468	—
Total Caseload	2,868	2,688	2,593	2,652	2,859	2,837	2,956	3,485	3,657	3,153	—
Cases Disposed of	1,297	1,074	998	1,125	1,175	1,093	954	1,385	1,972	1,596	—
Cases Undisposed	1,571	1,614	1,595	1,527	1,684	1,744	2,002	2,100	1,685	1,557	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	3.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.4**
Index of New Cases Filed*	116.25	92.16	80.8	87.21	90.1	95.13	100.0	122.35	128.46	121.12	103.36**
Index of Total Caseload	97.02	90.93	91.20	91.01	90.33	90.4	100.0	88.21	87.62	89.33	91.60**
Index of Cases Disposed of	98.64	98.88	89.54	98.82	93.77	98.85	100.0	98.54	97.93	98.33	97.83**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	95.5	96.05	38.49	42.42	95.90	96.15	67.73	96.03	94.61	50.62	77.40**
No. of CFI Judges:	6										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Note: This includes Davao del Sur, Davao del Norte, Davao Oriental and Davao City.

Table 22

## I L O C O S   N O R T E

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	251	287	291	300	310	320	331	342	353	365	377
Old Cases Pending	1,924	1,936	1,903	2,032	2,166	1,952	1,862	1,662	1,497	1,299	1,241
New Cases Filed	528	483	445	425	466	370	358	403	463	349	—
Total Caseload	2,452	2,419	2,348	2,457	2,632	2,322	2,220	2,065	1,960	1,648	—
Cases Disposed of	516	516	316	291	680	460	558	568	661	407	—
Cases Undisposed	1,936	1,903	2,032	2,166	1,952	1,862	1,662	1,497	1,299	1,241	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.10	1.40	1.50	1.40	1.50	1.10	1.10	1.20	1.80	.95	1.80**
Index of New Cases Filed*	147.48	134.91	124.30	118.71	130.16	103.35	100.00	112.56	129.32	97.48	119.70**
Index of Total Caseload	110.45	108.96	105.76	110.67	118.55	104.59	100.00	93.01	88.28	74.23	101.40**
Index of Cases Disposed of	92.47	92.47	56.63	52.15	121.86	82.43	100.00	101.79	118.61	72.93	89.13**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	21.05	21.34	13.46	11.69	25.84	19.81	25.14	27.51	33.73	24.70	22.40**
No. of CFI Judges:	4										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 23

## I L O C O S S U R

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	332,130	338,000	342,000	353,000	365,000	377,000	389,000	402,000	415,000	429,000	444,000
Old Cases Pending	1,101	1,055	776	726	832	914	796	959	1,041	1,046	943
New Cases Filed	546	450	367	319	402	319	435	519	477	400	—
Total Caseload	1,647	1,505	1,143	1,045	1,234	1,233	1,231	1,478	1,518	1,446	—
Cases Disposed of	592	729	417	213	320	437	272	438	472	503	—
Cases Undisposed	1,055	776	726	832	914	796	959	1,040	1,046	943	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.70	1.30	1.00	.90	1.10	.91	1.10	1.30	1.10	.93	— 1.10**
Index of New Cases Filed*	125.50	103.40	84.30	73.30	92.40	73.30	100.00	119.30	108.70	91.90	— 97.31**
Index of Total Caseload	133.70	122.20	92.90	84.90	100.20	100.10	100.00	120.00	123.30	117.50	— 109.48**
Index of Cases Disposed of	217.6	268.00	153.30	78.30	117.60	160.70	100.00	161.00	173.50	184.90	— 161.49**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	35.9	51.60	36.40	20.30	25.90	35.40	22.00	29.60	31.00	34.70	— 32.28**
No. of CFI Judges:	4										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 24

## ILOILO

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	981	996	978	1,010	1,044	1,078	1,115	1,153	1,193	1,235	1,278
Old Cases Pending	1,929	2,045	2,076	2,060	2,212	2,056	2,047	1,950	1,686	1,826	2,000
New Cases Filed	945	1,301	902	1,136	920	1,114	962	860	852	1,121	—
Total Caseload	2,874	3,346	2,978	3,196	3,132	3,170	3,009	2,810	2,638	2,947	—
Cases Disposed of	829	1,280	918	984	1,076	1,123	1,059	1,124	812	941	—
Cases Undisposed	2,045	2,066	2,060	2,212	2,056	2,047	1,950	1,686	1,826	2,000	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.96	1.3	.92	1.1	.88	1.0	.86	.74	.99	.90	.96**
Index of New Cases Filed*	98.20	135.2	93.80	118.1	95.60	115.8	100.00	89.40	99.00	116.50	106.16**
Index of Total Caseload	104.70	111.4	99.00	106.2	104.10	105.3	100.00	93.40	87.70	97.90	100.97**
Index of Cases Disposed of	78.30	120.8	86.60	92.9	101.60	108.0	100.00	106.10	76.70	89.40	95.84**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	28.80	38.2	30.80	30.8	34.30	35.4	35.20	40.00	30.80	32.10	33.64**
No. of CFI Judges:	7										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 25

## I S A B E L A

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	317	442	447	462	477	494	510	528	546	566	586
Old Cases Pending	1,284	1,301	1,414	1,408	1,392	1,261	1,337	1,181	1,108	1,035	916
New Cases Filed	556	504	592	419	446	481	728	456	411	649	—
Total Caseload	1,840	1,805	1,996	1,827	1,838	1,742	2,065	1,637	1,519	1,684	—
Cases Disposed of	367	391	588	475	577	405	884	529	484	458	—
Cases Undisposed	1,473	1,414	2,408	1,352	1,261	1,337	1,181	1,108	1,035	1,226	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 100 Population	1.7	1.1	1.3	.9	.9	.9	1.4	.9	.8	1.1	1.10**
Index of New Cases Filed*	76.4	69.2	72.5	57.5	61.3	66.1	100.0	62.3	56.5	89.1	71.09**
Index of Total Caseload	89.1	87.4	96.5	88.5	89.0	84.3	100.0	79.2	73.5	81.5	86.90**
Index of Cases Disposed of	41.5	44.2	66.5	53.7	65.3	45.8	100.0	59.8	54.5	51.8	58.31**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	19.9	21.7	29.4	26.0	31.4	23.2	42.8	32.3	31.9	27.2	28.53**
No. of CFI Judges:	4										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 26

## L A G U N A

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	386	472	478	493	510	527	545	564	584	605	626
Old Cases Pending	766	856	891	988	1,091	999	945	883	927	1,045	1,142
New Cases Filed	779	622	608	632	785	632	661	752	860	1,064	—
Total Caseload	1,545	1,478	1,499	1,620	1,876	1,631	1,606	1,635	1,787	2,109	—
Cases Disposed of	709	567	511	529	877	686	723	708	742	967	—
Cases Undisposed	836	911	988	1,091	999	945	883	927	1,045	1,142	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.00	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.20	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.3**
Index of New Cases Filed*	117.80	90.6	91.8	90.5	118.7	95.6	100.00	113.7	130.1	167.3	111.61**
Index of Total Caseload	90.40	92.0	93.3	100.8	116.8	101.5	100.00	101.8	111.2	131.3	103.91**
Index of Cases Disposed of	98.06	78.4	70.6	73.1	121.3	94.8	100.00	97.9	102.6	133.7	87.04**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	45.80	38.3	34.0	32.6	46.7	42.0	45.0	43.3	58.4	45.8	43.19**
No. of CFI Judges: 4											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 27

## LANAO DEL NORTE

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	—	271	274	283	283	303	313	324	336	348	361
Old Cases Pending	281	287	305	601	710	767	760	780	762	712	757
New Cases Filed	163	187	139	235	322	217	269	216	180	308	—
Total Caseload	144	474	444	836	1,032	984	1,029	996	942	1,020	—
Cases Disposed of	184	181	200	126	265	224	249	279	230	263	—
Cases Undisposed	260	293	244	710	767	760	780	717	712	757	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	—	.69	.51	.83	1.10	.72	.86	.66	.53	.88	.75**
Index of New Cases Filed*	60.5	69.5	51.70	87.40	120.00	80.70	100.00	80.30	66.90	114.50	83.15**
Index of Total Caseload	43.1	46.10	43.10	81.20	100.30	95.60	100.00	96.80	91.50	99.10	79.63**
Index of Cases Disposed of	73.9	72.70	80.30	50.60	106.40	89.90	100.00	120.00	92.40	105.60	89.08**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	41.4	38.20	45.00	15.10	25.70	22.80	24.20	28.00	24.40	25.80	29.03**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\* Average 1959-60 to 1967-68.

\*\* Average 1958-59 to 1967-68.



Table 28

## LANAO DEL SUR

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)		378	383	396	409	423	438	454	471	489	507
Old Cases Pending	376	440	540	327	304	322	378	6	381	160	208
New Cases Filed	248	281	261	132	127	242	122	516	131	551	—
Total Caseload	624	721	801	459	431	564	500	522	512	711	—
Cases Disposed of	157	169	117	155	109	186	494	241	252	503	—
Cases Undisposed	467	552	684	304	322	378	6	281	260	208	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population		.74	.68	.83	.81	.57	.28	1.10	.28	1.10	.59**
Index of New Cases Filed*	203.27	230.32	213.93	108.19	104.09	198.36	100.00	422.95	107.37	451.63	214.01**
Index of Total Caseload	124.80	144.20	160.20	91.80	86.20	112.80	100.00	104.40	102.40	142.20	116.90**
Index of Cases Disposed of	31.78	34.21	23.68	92.91	22.06	37.65	100.00	48.78	51.01	101.82	53.99**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	25.16	60.14	14.60	33.76	25.29	32.97	98.80	46.16	49.21	70.74	45.68**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

L A U N I O N

Table 29

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	285,000	293,000	297,000	307,000	317,000	327,000	338,000	350,000	362,000	374,000	387,000
Old Cases Pending	1,205	1,262	1,228	1,088	1,136	1,068	1,105	1,185	1,213	1,145	1,148
New Cases Filed	622	532	383	366	475	427	452	515	413	569	—
Total Caseload	1,827	1,794	1,611	1,454	1,611	1,495	1,557	1,700	1,626	1,714	—
Cases Disposed of	565	566	523	318	543	390	372	487	481	602	—
Cases Undisposed	1,262	1,228	1,088	1,136	1,068	1,105	1,185	1,213	1,145	1,112	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.10	1.80	1.30	1.20	1.50	1.30	1.30	1.50	1.10	1.50	1.50**
Index of New Cases Filed*	137.60	117.70	84.70	81.00	105.10	94.50	100.00	113.90	91.40	125.90	105.10**
Index of Total Caseload Index of Cases	117.30	115.20	103.50	93.40	103.50	96.00	100.00	109.20	104.40	110.40	105.20**
Disposed of Percent of Cases	151.90	152.10	140.60	85.50	146.00	104.80	100.00	130.90	129.30	161.80	130.20**
Disposed of No. of CFI Judges: 4	30.92	31.54	32.46	21.87	33.70	26.08	23.89	28.64	29.58	35.12	29.07**

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1964-68.

Table 30

## L E Y T E

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	1,006	963	975	1,007	1,039	1,074	1,109	1,146	1,184	1,223	1,264
Old Cases Pending	2,928	2,848	2,715	2,867	2,789	2,598	2,094	2,072	2,107	2,159	2,234
New Cases Filed	1,554	2,044	1,712	1,860	1,748	1,634	1,476	1,676	1,431	1,637	—
Total Caseload	4,482	4,692	4,427	4,727	4,537	4,232	3,570	3,748	3,538	3,796	—
Cases Disposed of	1,834	1,877	1,560	1,938	1,939	2,138	1,498	1,941	1,379	1,562	—
Cases Undisposed	2,648	2,815	2,867	2,789	2,598	2,094	2,072	2,107	2,159	2,234	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.5	2.1	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.3	1.5**
Index of New Cases Filed*	105.2	138.4	115.9	126.0	118.4	110.7	100.0	113.5	96.9	110.9	113.5**
Index of Total Caseload	125.5	131.4	124.0	132.4	121.0	118.5	100.0	104.9	99.1	106.3	116.3**
Index of Cases Disposed of	122.4	125.3	104.1	129.4	129.4	142.7	100.0	109.5	92.1	104.2	115.9**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	40.9	40.0	35.2	41.0	42.7	50.5	42.0	43.8	39.0	41.1	41.6**
No. of CFI Judges: 10											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Note: This includes the Province of Southern Leyte.

Table 31

## M A N I L A

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	983,000	1,139	1,152	1,190	1,229	1,270	1,312	1,356	1,402	1,449	1,499
Old Cases Pending	8,296	8,784	8,996	10,327	10,371	10,594	9,497	8,608	9,108	9,377	9,410
New Cases Filed	8,703	7,439	9,952	10,085	10,485	8,662	8,312	8,587	9,236	8,153	—
Total Caseload	16,999	16,223	18,948	20,412	20,856	19,256	17,809	17,195	18,344	17,530	—
Cases Disposed of	8,215	7,227	8,621	10,041	10,262	9,759	9,201	8,087	8,987	8,120	—
Cases Undisposed	8,784	8,996	10,327	10,371	10,594	9,497	8,608	9,108	9,377	9,410	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	8.8	6.5	8.6	8.5	9.0	6.8	6.3	6.3	6.6	6.6	7.40**
Index of New Cases Filed*	104.7	89.5	119.7	121.3	126.1	104.2	100.0	103.3	111.1	98.1	107.70**
Index of Total Caseload	95.5	91.1	106.4	114.6	117.1	108.1	100.0	96.5	103.0	98.4	103.07**
Index of Cases Disposed of	89.3	78.5	93.7	109.1	111.5	106.1	100.0	87.9	97.5	88.3	96.13**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	48.3	44.5	45.5	49.2	49.2	50.7	51.6	47.0	48.9	46.3	47.80**
No. of CFI Judges:	25										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 32

## MARINDUQUE

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	103	115	116	120	124	128	132	137	141	146	151
Old Cases Pending	281	301	189	206	300	241	234	236	262	285	290
New Cases Filed	111	94	103	106	117	117	87	67	127	131	—
Total Caseload	392	395	292	312	417	358	321	303	389	416	—
Cases Disposed of	91	206	86	12	176	124	85	41	104	126	—
Cases Undisposed	301	189	206	300	241	234	236	262	285	290	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.10	.82	.89	.88	.94	.91	.66	.49	.90	.60	.85**
Index of New Cases Filed*	127.58	108.04	118.39	121.83	134.48	134.40	100.00	77.01	145.97	150.57	121.80**
Index of Total Caseload	122.11	123.05	90.96	97.19	129.90	111.52	100.00	94.39	121.18	129.99	111.98**
Index of Cases Disposed of	107.05	242.35	101.17	14.11	207.05	145.88	100.00	48.23	122.35	148.23	123.14**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	23.21	52.15	29.45	38.46	42.20	34.63	26.47	13.53	28.73	30.28	31.71**
Nof. of CFI Judges:	1										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 33

## M A S B A T E

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	253	336	340	351	363	375	388	401	415	430	445
Old Cases Pending	670	858	978	1,169	1,711	1,381	1,473	1,485	1,705	1,895	1,498
New Cases Filed	414	485	452	390	455	405	399	434	386	806	—
Total Caseload	1,084	1,343	1,430	1,559	1,766	1,786	1,872	1,919	2,091	2,701	—
Cases Disposed of	226	365	261	248	385	313	387	214	196	903	—
Cases Undisposed	858	978	1,169	1,311	1,381	1,473	1,485	1,705	1,895	1,798	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.60	1.41	1.32	1.11	1.15	1.06	1.02	1.08	.93	1.87	1.30**
Index of New Cases Filed*	103.75	121.55	113.28	97.74	114.03	101.50	100.00	108.77	96.74	202.00	115.90**
Index of Total Caseload	57.90	75.74	76.38	83.27	94.87	100.22	100.00	102.57	111.69	144.28	94.28**
Index of Cases Disposed of	58.40	90.57	67.44	64.08	99.48	80.87	100.00	55.29	50.64	233.33	90.01**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	24.54	27.18	18.25	15.91	21.80	17.52	20.67	11.15	9.37	34.43	20.08**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

MINDORO OCCIDENTAL Table 34

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	51	84	85	88	91	94	98	101	105	109	113
Old Cases Pending	147	150	153	184	196	178	201	227	232	156	92
New Cases Filed	109	249	78	66	87	107	96	148	188	137	—
Total Caseload	256	399	231	250	283	285	297	375	420	293	—
Cases Disposed of	106	103	159	54	105	84	70	143	264	201	—
Cases Undisposed	150	296	72	196	178	201	227	232	156	92	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.1	2.9	.9	.8	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.5	1.8	1.2	1.4**
Index of New Cases Filed*	113.5	259.3	81.2	68.7	90.6	111.4	100.0	154.1	185.8	142.7	131.7**
Index of Total Caseload	86.1	134.3	77.7	84.1	95.2	95.9	100.0	126.2	141.4	98.6	103.9**
Index of Cases Disposed of	151.4	147.1	227.1	77.1	150.0	120.0	100.0	204.2	377.1	287.1	184.1**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	41.4	25.8	68.8	21.6	37.1	29.5	23.6	38.1	62.8	68.6	41.7**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

MINDORO ORIENTAL

Table 35

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	149	229	232	239	248	256	265	275	285	295	306
Old Cases Pending	486	523	555	677	735	657	719	541	482	472	436
New Cases Filed	260	106	281	281	222	501	277	239	316	249	—
Total Caseload	746	629	836	958	957	1,158	996	780	798	721	—
Cases Disposed of	223	217	47	164	579	215	417	346	326	285	—
Cases Undisposed	523	412	789	794	378	943	579	434	472	436	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.70	.46	1.20	1.20	.89	1.95	1.04	.87	1.10	.84	1.10**
Index of New Cases Filed*	93.86	38.26	101.44	101.44	80.14	180.86	100.00	86.28	114.07	89.89	88.72**
Index of Total Caseload	74.89	63.15	83.93	96.18	96.08	116.26	100.00	78.31	80.12	72.98	86.13**
Index of Cases Disposed of	53.47	52.03	11.27	39.32	138.84	51.55	100.00	82.97	78.17	68.34	67.59**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	29.89	34.49	5.62	17.11	60.50	18.56	41.86	44.35	40.85	39.52	33.27**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.



Table 36

## MISAMIS OCCIDENTAL

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	207	248	251	260	268	277	286	295	305	315	325
Old Cases Pending	1,199	1,255	1,334	1,552	1,621	1,377	961	827	627	426	418
New Cases Filed	401	346	438	379	371	340	490	439	411	568	—
Total Caseload	1,600	1,601	1,772	1,931	1,992	1,717	1,451	1,266	1,038	994	—
Cases Disposed of	345	267	220	310	615	756	624	639	612	576	—
Cases Undisposed	1,255	1,334	1,552	1,621	1,377	961	827	627	426	418	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.9	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.8	1.50**
Index of New Cases Filed*	81.8	70.6	89.4	77.3	75.7	69.4	100.0	89.6	83.9	115.9	85.36**
Index of Total Caseload	110.3	110.3	122.1	133.1	137.3	118.3	100.0	87.2	71.5	68.5	105.86**
Index of Cases Disposed of	55.3	42.8	35.2	49.7	98.5	121.1	100.0	102.4	98.1	92.3	79.54**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	21.6	16.7	12.4	16.1	30.9	44.0	43.0	50.5	58.9	57	35.11**
No. of CFI Judges: 3											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

MISAMIS ORIENTAL

Table 37

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	369	389	393	406	419	433	447	462	477	493	510
Old Cases Pending	1,640	1,662	1,705	1,690	1,786	1,836	1,869	1,510	1,240	1,261	1,104
New Cases Filed	543	291	367	384	453	447	534	627	648	758	—
Total Caseload	2,183	1,953	2,072	2,074	2,239	2,283	1,903	2,137	1,888	2,019	—
Cases Disposed of	521	248	382	288	365	914	393	897	627	915	—
Cases Undisposed	1,662	1,705	1,690	1,786	1,874	1,369	1,510	1,240	1,261	1,104	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.40	.75	.93	.94	1.10	1.00	1.20	1.30	1.30	1.50	1.10 <sup>oo</sup>
Index of New Cases Filed*	101.68	54.49	68.72	71.91	84.83	83.70	100.00	117.41	121.34	141.94	94.60 <sup>oo</sup>
Index of Total Caseload	114.71	102.62	108.88	108.88	117.65	119.96	100.00	112.29	99.21	106.09	109.39 <sup>oo</sup>
Index of Cases Disposed of	132.56	63.10	97.20	73.28	92.87	232.56	100.00	228.24	159.54	232.82	141.21 <sup>oo</sup>
Percent of Cases Disposed of	23.86	12.69	18.43	13.88	16.30	40.03	20.65	41.97	33.20	45.31	26.63 <sup>oo</sup>
No. of CFI Judges: 5											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

<sup>oo</sup> Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 38

## MOUNTAIN PROVINCE

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	334	436	441	456	471	487	504	521	540	559	580
Old Cases Pending	826	875	977	1,003	1,144	1,381	1,373	1,293	1,591	1,702	2,007
New Cases Filed	487	470	450	677	789	706	949	989	808	907	—
Total Caseload	1,313	1,345	1,427	1,680	1,933	2,087	2,322	2,292	2,399	2,609	—
Cases Disposed of	460	3658	400	497	552	714	1,029	701	697	566	—
Cases Undisposed	853	977	1,027	1,183	1,381	1,373	1,293	1,591	1,702	2,043	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.9	1.9	1.5	1.6	1.5**
Index of New Cases Filed*	51.3	49.5	47.4	71.3	83.1	74.4	100.0	105.3	85.1	95.6	76.3**
Index of Total Caseload	56.5	57.9	61.4	72.3	83.2	89.9	100.0	98.7	103.3	115.8	83.9**
Index of Cases Disposed of	44.7	35.8	38.9	48.3	53.6	69.4	100.0	68.1	67.7	55.0	58.1**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	35.03	27.36	28.03	29.58	28.55	27.88	44.31	30.58	29.05	21.69	30.3**
No. of CFI Judges:	8										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Note: This includes Baguio City, Kalanga-Apayao, Mountain Province, Ifugao and Benguet.

NEGROS OCCIDENTAL

Table 39

Fiscal Year	1953-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	1,248	1,332	1,348	1,392	1,439	1,487	1,537	1,539	1,644	1,701	1,760
Old Cases Pending	1,971	1,801	1,826	1,850	1,886	1,937	1,893	1,895	1,937	2,126	2,200
New Cases Filed	1,075	890	630	978	957	1,163	989	1,070	1,135	1,484	—
Total Caseload	3,046	2,691	2,456	2,828	2,843	3,100	2,882	2,965	3,072	3,610	—
Cases Disposed of	1,245	865	606	942	938	1,225	989	1,028	946	1,410	—
Cases Undisposed	1,801	1,826	1,850	1,886	1,905	1,875	1,893	1,937	2,126	2,200	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	9	.7	.5	.7	.7	.8	.6	.7	.7	.9	.7**
Index of New Cases Filed*	92.4	76.5	54.1	84.0	82.2	100.0	85.0	92.0	97.5	127.6	89.1**
Index of Total Caseload	98.2	86.8	79.2	91.2	91.7	100.0	92.9	95.6	99.0	116.4	95.1**
Index of Cases Disposed of	101.6	70.6	49.4	76.8	76.5	100.0	80.7	83.9	77.2	115.1	83.1**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	40.8	32.1	24.6	33.3	32.9	39.5	34.3	34.6	30.7	39.0	34.0**
No. of CFI Judges:	7										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1953-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 40

## NEGROS ORIENTAL

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	533	598	605	625	645	667	690	713	738	763	780
Old Cases Pending	1,176	1,241	1,285	1,414	1,425	1,508	1,675	1,684	1,682	1,693	1,761
New Cases Filed	483	489	833	427	688	792	452	413	480	536	—
Total Caseload	1,659	1,730	2,118	1,841	2,113	2,300	2,127	2,097	2,162	2,229	—
Cases Disposed of	418	445	704	416	573	605	443	415	469	468	—
Cases Undisposed	1,241	1,285	1,414	1,425	1,540	1,695	1,684	1,682	1,693	1,761	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.90	.82	1.40	.68	1.10	1.20	.65	.58	.65	.70	.86**
Index of New Cases Filed*	106.80	108.10	184.20	94.40	152.20	175.20	100.00	91.30	106.10	118.50	123.68**
Index of Total Caseload	77.90	81.30	99.50	86.50	99.80	108.10	100.00	98.50	101.60	104.70	95.74**
Index of Cases Disposed of	94.30	100.40	158.90	93.90	129.30	136.50	100.00	93.60	105.80	103.60	90.69**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	25.19	25.72	33.23	22.59	27.11	26.30	20.82	19.79	21.69	20.69	24.34**
No. of CFI Judges:	3										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 41

## NUEVA ECIJA

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	562	608	616	636	657	678	701	725	749	775	802
Old Cases Pending	1,862	1,903	1,932	1,750	1,788	1,748	1,688	1,812	1,775	1,569	1,857
New Cases Filed	964	918	702	727	822	697	1,021	814	713	989	—
Total Caseload	2,826	2,821	2,634	2,477	2,610	2,447	2,709	2,626	2,488	2,558	—
Cases Disposed of	922	889	884	689	862	757	897	851	919	701	—
Cases Undisposed	1,904	1,932	1,750	1,788	1,748	1,688	1,812	1,775	1,569	1,857	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.70	1.50	1.10	1.10	1.20	1.00	1.40	1.10	.95	1.3	1.20**
Index of New Cases Filed*	94.40	89.90	68.70	71.20	80.50	58.00	100.00	79.70	69.80	96.9	89.90**
Index of Total Caseload	104.30	104.20	97.20	91.40	96.30	90.30	100.00	96.90	91.80	94.4	105.60**
Index of Cases Disposed of	102.80	99.10	98.50	76.80	96.00	84.40	100.00	94.80	102.90	78.1	103.65**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	32.60	31.50	33.60	27.80	33.00	30.90	33.10	32.40	36.90	27.40	35.50**
No. of CFI Judges:	3										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1959-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 42

## NUEVA VIZCAYA

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	99	138	140	144	149	154	159	165	171	177	183
Old Cases Pending	742	694	640	660	705	672	643	639	632	615	721
New Cases Filed	355	269	382	297	284	219	254	311	259	301	—
Total Caseload	1,097	640	1,022	957	989	891	897	950	891	916	—
Cases Disposed of	403	323	362	252	317	248	258	318	276	195	—
Cases Undisposed	694	317	660	705	672	643	639	632	615	721	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	3.5	1.9	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.5	1.7	1.9**
Index of New Cases Filed*	139.7	105.9	150.3	116.9	111.8	86.2	100.0	122.4	102.0	118.5	115.3**
Index of Total Caseload	122.3	71.3	113.9	106.7	110.2	99.3	100.0	105.9	99.3	102.1	103.1**
Index of Cases Disposed of	156.2	125.2	140.3	97.7	122.9	96.1	100.0	123.2	107.0	75.6	114.4**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	36.7	50.5	35.4	26.3	32.1	27.8	28.8	33.5	31.0	21.3	32.3**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 43

P A L A W A N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	127	163	165	170	176	182	188	195	201	209	216
Old Cases Pending	134	149	128	167	252	247	241	285	243	282	397
New Cases Filed	230	204	172	151	272	221	270	337	260	327	—
Total Caseload	364	353	300	318	524	468	511	622	503	609	—
Cases Disposed of	215	225	133	66	277	227	226	379	221	212	—
Cases Undisposed	149	128	167	252	247	241	285	243	282	397	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 100 Population	1.80	1.20	1.00	.89	1.50	1.20	1.40	1.70	1.30	1.60	— 1.20**
Index of New Cases Filed*	85.18	75.55	63.70	55.92	100.74	81.85	100.00	124.81	96.29	121.11	— 90.51**
Index of Total Caseload	71.23	69.08	58.70	62.23	102.54	91.53	100.00	121.72	98.43	119.17	— 89.46**
Index of Cases Disposed of	95.13	99.55	58.84	29.20	122.56	100.44	100.00	167.69	97.78	93.80	— 96.50**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	59.06	63.73	44.33	20.75	52.86	48.50	44.22	60.93	43.93	34.81	— 47.30**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.



Table 44

## P A M P A N G A

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	500	617	625	645	667	689	713	738	764	791	819
Old Cases Pending	1,652	1,684	1,416	1,277	1,143	1,339	1,427	1,341	1,181	1,281	1,505
New Cases Filed	730	622	518	444	790	662	541	558	686	767	—
Total Caseload	2,382	2,306	1,934	1,721	1,933	2,001	1,968	1,899	1,867	2,048	—
Cases Disposed of	698	890	657	578	594	574	627	718	578	551	—
Cases Undisposed	1,684	1,416	1,277	1,143	1,339	1,427	627	1,181	1,289	1,497	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.40	1.00	.83	.69	1.20	.96	.72	.76	.90	.97	.94**
Index of New Cases Filed*	134.33	114.97	95.74	82.07	146.02	122.36	100.00	103.14	126.80	141.77	— 116.70**
Index of Total Caseload	121	117.1	98.30	87.40	98.30	101.70	100.00	96.50	94.90	104.10	— 98.93**
Index of Cases Disposed of	111.30	141.90	104.80	92.20	94.70	91.50	100.00	114.50	92.20	87.90	— 103.10**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	29.30	38.60	34.00	33.60	30.70	28.70	31.80	37.80	30.80	26.90	— 32.23**
No. of CFI Judges:	4										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 45

## PANGASINAN

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	1,106	1,124	1,137	1,175	1,214	1,255	1,298	1,342	1,389	1,438	1,489
Old Cases Pending	5,245	5,142	4,792	4,538	4,235	4,048	3,755	3,440	3,096	3,060	2,885
New Cases Filed	1,978	2,297	1,438	1,393	1,577	1,448	1,404	1,419	1,437	1,473	—
Total Caseload	7,223	7,439	6,230	5,931	5,812	5,496	5,159	4,859	4,533	4,533	—
Cases Disposed of	2,074	2,727	1,686	1,696	1,764	1,741	1,719	1,781	1,473	1,648	—
Cases Undisposed	5,149	4,712	4,544	4,235	4,048	3,755	3,440	3,078	3,060	2,885	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.80	2.00	1.30	1.20	1.30	1.20	1.10	1.10	1.00	1.00	1.30**
Index of New Cases Filed*	140.88	163.60	99.21	96.20	112.32	103.13	100.00	101.06	102.35	104.91	112.30**
Index of Total Caseload	140.00	144.19	120.75	114.96	112.65	106.53	100.00	94.18	87.86	87.86	110.89**
Index of Cases Disposed of	120.65	158.63	98.08	98.66	102.61	101.27	100.00	103.60	85.68	95.86	106.50**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	28.71	36.65	27.06	28.59	30.35	31.87	33.32	36.65	32.49	36.35	32.18**
No. of CFI Judges:	11										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 46

## QUEZON

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	500	654	661	683	706	729	754	780	808	836	865
Old Cases Pending	1,973	2,240	2,445	3,095	3,005	3,368	3,660	3,789	3,628	3,493	3,385
New Cases Filed	997	998	1,290	868	873	790	1,128	994	1,046	1,218	—
Total Caseload	2,970	3,238	3,735	3,963	3,878	4,158	4,786	4,783	4,674	4,711	—
Cases Disposed of	708	783	640	958	510	498	997	1,155	1,181	1,326	—
Cases Undisposed	2,262	2,445	3,095	3,005	3,368	3,660	3,789	3,628	3,493	3,385	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.0	1.5	1.9	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.5	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4**
Index of New Cases Filed*	88.5	88.6	114.6	77.1	77.5	70.1	100.0	88.3	92.9	108.2	90.6**
Index of Total Caseload	62.1	67.6	78.0	82.8	81.0	86.9	100.0	99.9	97.6	98.4	85.43**
Index of Cases Disposed of	71.0	79.5	64.2	96.1	51.1	49.9	100.0	115.8	118.4	133.0	87.9**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	23.8	24.5	17.1	24.2	13.1	12.0	20.8	24.1	25.3	28.1	21.3**
No. of CFI Judges:	5										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 47

## R I Z A L

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	809	1,456	1,474	1,523	1,574	1,629	1,686	1,746	1,809	1,876	1,947
Old Cases Pending	5,461	6,800	7,017	7,235	7,340	8,044	7,489	9,296	10,852	11,100	11,766
New Cases Filed	5,264	4,395	3,500	4,207	4,625	4,259	6,853	6,416	6,873	8,058	—
Total Caseload	10,725	11,195	10,517	11,442	11,965	12,303	14,342	15,712	17,725	19,158	—
Cases Disposed of	3,858	4,118	3,282	4,102	3,921	4,814	5,046	4,860	6,625	7,392	—
Cases Undisposed	6,867	7,077	7,235	7,340	8,044	7,489	9,292	10,852	11,100	11,766	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	6.50	3.00	2.40	2.80	2.90	2.60	4.10	3.70	3.80	4.30	3.60**
Index of New Cases Filed*	76.81	64.13	51.07	61.38	67.48	62.14	100.00	93.62	100.29	117.58	79.45**
Index of Total Caseload	74.78	78.05	73.33	79.77	83.42	85.78	100.00	109.55	123.58	133.57	94.18**
Index of Cases Disposed of	76.45	81.60	65.04	81.29	77.70	95.40	100.00	96.31	131.29	146.49	95.15**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	35.97	36.78	31.20	35.85	32.77	39.12	35.18	30.93	37.37	38.58	35.67**
No. of CFI Judges:	15										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 48

## R O M B L O N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	130,810	132,000	133,000	138,000	142,000	147,000	152,000	157,000	163,000	168,000	174,000
Old Cases Pending	211	177	192	209	224	237	214	224	241	267	298
New Cases Filed	100	78	82	158	116	91	108	104	124	102	—
Total Caseload	311	255	274	367	340	328	322	328	365	369	—
Cases Disposed of	134	53	65	143	103	114	98	87	98	71	—
Cases Undisposed	177	202	209	224	237	214	224	241	267	298	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.76	.59	.61	1.14	.81	.61	.71	.93	.92	.60	.77**
Index of New Cases Filed*	92.60	72.20	75.90	146.30	107.40	84.20	100.00	96.20	114.80	94.40	98.40**
Index of Total Caseload	96.50	79.10	85.00	113.90	105.50	101.80	100.00	101.80	113.90	114.50	101.10**
Index of Cases Disposed of	136.70	54.00	66.30	145.90	105.10	116.30	100.00	88.70	100.00	72.40	98.50**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	43.08	20.78	23.72	38.96	30.29	33.96	26.84	26.52	26.84	19.24	25.90**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

S A M A R

Table 49

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	757	868	878	907	938	969	1,002	1,036	1,073	1,111	1,150
Old Cases Pending	1,588	1,703	1,793	2,235	2,652	1,804	1,384	1,635	1,570	1,374	1,267
New Cases Filed	1,283	1,125	1,108	1,037	1,237	1,500	1,138	1,183	1,044	1,088	—
Total Caseload	2,871	2,828	2,901	3,272	3,889	3,304	2,522	2,818	2,614	2,462	—
Cases Disposed of	1,168	1,035	666	620	2,085	1,920	887	1,248	1,240	1,195	—
Cases Undisposed	1,703	1,793	2,235	2,652	1,804	1,384	1,635	1,570	1,374	1,267	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2**
Index of New Cases Filed*	112.7	98.8	97.4	91.1	108.7	131.8	100.0	103.9	91.7	95.6	103.1**
Index of Total Caseload	113.8	112.1	115.0	129.7	154.2	131.0	100.0	111.7	103.6	97.6	127.2**
Index of Cases Disposed of	131.7	116.7	75.1	69.9	235.0	216.4	100.0	140.7	139.8	134.7	136.0**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	38.89	36.6	23.0	18.9	53.6	58.1	35.2	44.3	57.0	48.5	41.4**
No. of CFI Judges:	9										

Note: This includes Eastern, Northern and Western Samar and Calbayog City.

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 50

## S O R S O G O N

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	346	348	352	363	376	388	401	415	429	444	460
Old Cases Pending	334	424	552	628	707	704	730	778	805	833	853
New Cases Filed	385	371	318	350	508	482	482	433	439	420	—
Total Caseload	719	795	870	978	1,215	1,186	1,212	1,211	1,244	1,253	—
Cases Disposed of	295	243	242	271	511	456	434	406	411	400	—
Cases Undisposed	424	552	628	707	704	730	778	805	833	853	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.1	1.1	.9	.9	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	.9	1.1**
Index of New Cases Filed*	79.8	77.0	68.0	72.6	105.4	100.0	100.0	89.8	91.1	87.1	86.9**
Index of Total Caseload	59.3	65.6	71.8	80.7	100.2	97.8	100.0	99.9	102.6	103.4	88.1**
Index of Cases Disposed of	68.0	53.9	55.3	62.4	117.7	105.1	100.0	93.5	94.7	92.2	84.3**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	41.0	30.6	27.8	27.7	42.0	38.4	35.3	33.5	33.0	31.9	34.2**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 51

S U L U

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	289,510	327,000	331,000	342,000	353,000	365,000	377,000	390,000	404,000	418,000	433,000
Old Cases Pending	635	597	542	397	291	288	319	322	343	394	443
New Cases Filed	310	232	349	241	177	181	237	208	189	191	—
Total Caseload	945	829	891	638	468	469	556	590	532	585	—
Cases Disposed of	348	287	494	347	180	150	174	247	138	142	—
Cases Undisposed	597	542	397	291	288	319	382	343	394	443	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.10	.70	1.00	.70	.50	.49	.62	.53	.46	.45	.65**
Index of New Cases Filed*	130.80	97.80	147.20	101.60	74.60	76.30	100.00	87.70	79.70	80.50	— 97.60**
Index of Total Caseload	170.00	149.10	160.20	114.70	84.20	84.3	100.00	106.10	95.60	105.20	— 116.9**
Index of Cases Disposed of	200.00	164.90	223.90	199.40	103.40	86.20	100.00	141.90	79.30	65.60	— 142.40**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	36.82	34.62	55.44	45.62	38.46	31.98	31.29	41.86	25.93	24.27	— 33.40**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.



SURIGAO DEL NORTE Table 52

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)		195	197	204	211	218	255	232	240	249	257
Old Cases Pending	915	916	922	689	700	486	414	346	254	203	188
New Cases Filed	402	390	354	210	220	232	157	186	208	210	—
Total Caseload	1,317	1,306	1,276	899	920	718	571	542	462	413	—
Cases Disposed of	401	384	370	199	435	303	225	288	259	225	—
Cases Undisposed	916	922	906	700	483	415	346	254	203	188	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population		2.00	1.80	1.00	1.00	1.10	.61	.84	.87	.84	1.10**
Index of New Cases Filed*	173.27	168.10	152.53	90.51	94.82	100.00	67.67	91.56	89.65	86.60	111.47**
Index of Total Caseload	183.40	181.80	177.70	125.20	128.10	100.00	79.52	75.48	64.34	57.52	117.3**
Index of Cases Disposed of	132.30	126.70	122.10	65.67	143.50	100.00	74.25	85.05	85.47	74.25	101.92**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	30.44	29.40	28.99	22.13	47.28	42.20	39.40	53.13	56.06	54.47	40.35**
No. of CFI Judges:	3										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

SURIGAO DEL SUR Table 53

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	—	165	167	173	178	184	190	197	204	211	219
Old Cases Pending	915°	916°	922°	217	221	289	291	315	268	284	259
New Cases Filed	402°	390°	354°	130	163	198	157	136	181	108	—
Total Caseload	1,317	1,306	1,276	347	384	467	448	451	449	392	—
Cases Disposed of	401°	384°	370°	126	115	176	133	183	165	133	—
Cases Undisposed	916	922	906	221	269	291	315	268	284	259	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	—	2.40	2.10	.75	.91	1.10	.83	.69	.89	.51	— 1.10°°
Index of New Cases Filed°	256.05	248.40	225.47	82.80	108.82	126.11	100.00	86.62	115.28	68.78	— 141.83°°°
Index of Total Caseload	293.97	68.30	284.82	77.45	85.71	104.24	100.00	100.66	100.22	87.50	— 126.68°°°
Index of Cases Disposed of	301.50	288.70	278.20	94.70	86.50	132.30	100.00	137.50	124.10	100.00	— 164.35°°°
Percent of Cases Disposed of	30.40	29.40	29.00	36.30	29.90	37.70	29.70	40.60	36.70	33.90	— 33.36°°°
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

° For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

°° Average 1959-60 to 1967-68.

°°° Average 1958-59 to 1967-68.

Table 54

## TARLAC

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	393	427	432	446	461	476	492	509	527	546	565
Old Cases Pending	1,130	1,155	1,221	1,288	1,373	1,249	1,111	1,114	963	798	774
New Cases Filed	394	405	353	248	323	307	364	294	322	354	—
Total Caseload	1,524	1,560	1,574	1,536	1,696	1,556	1,475	1,408	1,285	1,152	—
Cases Disposed of	369	339	286	163	447	445	361	445	487	378	—
Cases Undisposed	1,155	1,221	1,288	1,373	1,249	1,111	1,114	963	798	774	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.00	.95	.82	.50	.70	.64	.74	.58	.61	.65	.72**
Index of New Cases Filed*	108.20	111.20	96.90	68.10	88.70	84.30	100.00	80.80	88.50	97.20	92.39**
Index of Total Caseload	103.30	105.80	106.70	104.10	115.00	105.40	100.00	95.40	87.10	78.10	90.72**
Index of Cases Disposed of	102.20	93.90	79.20	45.10	123.80	123.30	100.00	123.30	134.90	104.70	103.04**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	24.20	21.70	18.20	10.60	26.30	28.60	24.50	31.60	37.90	32.80	25.64**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 55

## Z A M B A L E S

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	166	214	216	223	231	239	247	256	265	274	285
Old Cases Pending	1,137	1,260	1,336	1,391	1,469	1,333	1,275	1,159	1,117	1,109	1,148
New Cases Filed	379	376	319	365	367	470	403	412	416	549	—
Total Caseload	1,516	1,636	1,655	1,756	1,836	1,803	1,678	1,571	1,533	1,658	—
Cases Disposed of	256	300	264	287	503	528	519	454	424	510	—
Cases Undisposed	1,260	1,336	1,391	1,469	1,333	1,275	1,159	1,117	1,109	1,148	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.7	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.0	1.80**
Index of New Cases Filed*	94	93.3	79.1	90.6	91.1	116.6	100.0	103	103.2	136.2	100.71**
Index of Total Caseload	90.3	97.5	98.6	104.6	109.4	107.4	100.0	93.6	91.3	98.8	99.15**
Index of Cases Disposed of	49.3	57.8	50.9	55.3	96.9	101.7	100.0	87.5	81.7	98.3	83.53**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	16.9	18.3	15.9	16.3	27.4	29.3	30.9	28.9	27.6	30.7	24.22**
No. of CFI Judges: 2											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 56

## ZAMBOANGA DEL NORTE

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	201	282	285	294	304	314	325	336	348	360	373
Old Cases Pending	674	719	713	688	645	622	550	489	548	610	725
New Cases Filed	428	551	391	407	552	479	522	540	577	660	—
Total Caseload	1,102	1,270	1,104	1,095	1,197	1,101	1,072	1,029	1,125	1,270	—
Cases Disposed of	383	557	416	450	575	551	583	481	515	545	—
Cases Undisposed	719	713	688	645	622	550	489	548	610	725	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	2.10	1.90	1.40	1.40	1.80	1.50	1.60	1.60	1.60	.81	1.5**
Index of New Cases Filed*	81.99	105.55	70.83	77.96	105.74	91.76	100.00	103.44	110.53	126.43	97.42**
Index of Total Caseload Index of Cases Disposed of	102.79	118.47	102.98	102.14	111.66	102.70	100.00	95.88	104.94	118.47	106.01**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	65.69	95.54	71.34	77.18	98.62	94.51	100.00	82.50	88.33	93.48	86.71**
No. of CFI Judges: 2	34.75	43.86	37.68	41.10	48.04	49.85	45.62	46.75	45.76	42.92	43.64**

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Table 57

## ZAMBOANGA DEL SUR

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	425	743	751	777	803	832	862	893	927	963	1,001
Old Cases Pending	314	420	443	398	571	674	694	887	866	1,001	1,132
New Cases Filed	379	361	352	247	419	343	347	369	524	487	—
Total Caseload	693	781	795	645	990	1,017	1,041	1,256	1,390	1,488	—
Cases Disposed of	273	338	297	174	316	323	154	390	389	356	—
Cases Undisposed	420	443	498	471	674	694	887	866	1,001	1,132	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	.89	.95	.95	.96	.94	.95	.40	.41	.56	.50	.75**
Index of New Cases Filed*	109.22	104.03	101.44	71.81	120.74	98.84	100.00	106.34	151.00	140.34	110.31**
Index of Total Caseload	66.57	75.02	76.37	61.95	95.10	97.69	100.00	120.65	133.52	106.20	83.24**
Index of Cases Disposed of	177.27	219.48	192.85	112.98	205.19	209.74	100.00	253.24	252.59	231.16	195.75**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	39.39	43.27	37.35	26.97	31.91	31.6	14.79	31.05	27.98	23.92	30.83**
No. of CFI Judges	3										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

Number of judges includes Zamboanga City and Basilan City.

ZAMBOANGA CITY Table 58

Fiscal Year	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	124,202	131,500	133,000	137,800	142,200	147,300	152,800	155,900	—	—
Old Cases Pending	712	707	702	643	689	650	663	701	736	775
New Cases Filed	214	193	188	227	178	220	226	216	238	231
Total Caseload	926	900	890	870	867	870	889	917	974	1,006
Cases of Disposed of	219	198	217	181	217	207	188	181	199	148
Cases Undisposed	707	702	673	689	650	663	701	736	775	858
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.6	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.4
Index of New Cases Filed*	94.7	85.4	83.2	100.4	78.8	97.3	100.0	95.6	105.3	102.2
Index of Total Caseload	104.2	101.2	100.1	97.9	97.5	97.9	100.0	103.1	109.6	113.2
Index of Cases Disposed of	116.5	105.3	115.4	96.3	115.4	110.1	100.0	96.3	105.8	78.7
Percent of Cases Disposed of	23.6	22.0	24.4	20.8	25.0	23.8	21.1	19.7	20.4	14.7
No. of CFI Judges: 1										

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.

BASILAN CITY (Zamboanga del Sur)

Table 59

Fiscal Year	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61	1961-62	1962-63	1963-64	1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1967-68	1968-69
Population (000)	132	155	157	162	168	174	180	184	—	—	—
Old Cases Pending	549	566	526	300	327	181	179	227	320	315	—
New Cases Filed	246	146	87	214	237	202	151	156	150	195	—
Total Caseload	795	712	613	514	564	383	330	383	470	510	—
Cases Disposed of	229	186	313	187	383	204	103	63	155	298	—
Cases Undisposed	566	526	300	327	181	179	227	320	315	212	—
No. of Cases Filed Per 1000 Population	1.80	.93	.44	1.30	1.40	1.10	.83	.84	—	—	1.00**
Index of New Cases Filed*	162.91	96.68	57.61	141.72	156.95	133.71	100.00	103.31	99.33	129.13	118.14**
Index of Total Caseload	240.90	215.75	185.75	155.75	170.90	116.06	100.00	116.06	142.42	154.54	159.81**
Index of Cases Disposed of	222.33	180.58	303.88	181.55	371.84	198.05	100.00	61.16	150.46	289.32	205.90**
Percent of Cases Disposed of	28.80	26.12	51.06	36.38	67.90	53.26	31.21	16.44	32.97	58.43	40.25**
No. of CFI Judges: 1											

\* For purposes of comparison between years, 1964-1965 = 100.

\*\* Average 1958-1959 to 1967-68.